arXiv:1010.4864v1 [math.NT] 23 Oct 2010

ON A GAUSS-KUZMIN TYPE PROBLEM FOR A FAMILY OF CONTINUED FRACTIONS

Dan Lascu*

Mircea cel Batran Naval Academy, 1 Fulgerului, 900218 Constanta, Romania

Abstract

We study a family of continued fraction expansion of reals from the unit interval. The Perron-Frobenius operator of the transformation which generates this expansion under the invariant measure of this transformation is given. Using the ergodic behavior of homogeneous random system with complete connections associated with this expansion we solve a variant of Gauss-Kuzmin problem for this continued fraction expansion.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010). 11J70, 11K50, 28D05, 60A10
Key words: continued fractions, invariant measure, Gauss-Kuzmin problem, Perron-Frobenius operator, random system with complete connections

1 Introduction

In this section we describe a family of continued fraction expansions different from the regular continued fraction expansion for a number x in the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$ which has been actually considered in [3]. We give metric properties of this continued fraction expansion and we show formulae of probability about incomplete quotients.

Thus, Chan shows that any $x \in I := [0, 1)$ can be written in the form

$$x = \cfrac{m^{-a_1(x)}}{1 + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_2(x)}}{1 + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_3(x)}}{1 + \ddots}}} := [[a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x), \ldots]] \tag{1.1}$$

where $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $m \geq 2$ and $a_n(x)$ are natural numbers, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$, where $\mathbf{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbf{N}_+ := \{1, 2, \ldots\}$.

---

*e-mail: lascudan@gmail.com.

The naturals a_n are called *the incomplete quotients* of x and they are given by the relations

$$a_1(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{\log x^{-1}}{\log m} \right\rfloor, \ x \neq 0, \ a_1(0) = \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

$$a_n(x) = a_1\left(T_m^{n-1}(x)\right), \ n \in \mathbf{N}_+, \ n \geq 2. \tag{1.3}$$

Let Ω be the set of all irrational numbers from I. In the case when $x \in I \setminus \Omega$, we have

$$a_n(x) = \infty, \forall n \geq k(x) \geq m, \text{ and } a_n(x) \in \mathbf{N}, \ \forall n < k(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Therefore, in the rational case, the continued fraction expansion (1.1) is finite, unlike the irrational case, when we have an infinite number of natural incomplete quotients.

Next, for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ with $m \geq 2$, we define on I the *shift transformation* T_m as follows:

Definition 1.1 For $x \neq 0$,

$$T_m(x) := T_m([[a_1(x), a_2(x), \ldots]]) = [[a_2(x), a_3(x), \ldots]], \tag{1.5}$$

and for $x = 0$, $T_m(0) = 0$.

From (1.1) and (1.5), for $x \neq 0$ and $m \geq 2$, we have

$$x = \frac{m^{-a_1(x)}}{1 + (m-1)T_m(x)}. \tag{1.6}$$

Consequently, using (1.6) we can write the transformation T_m of I as

$$T_m(x) := \frac{m^{\frac{\log x^{-1}}{\log m} - \left\lfloor \frac{\log x^{-1}}{\log m} \right\rfloor} - 1}{m-1}, \ x \neq 0, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor (entire) function.

It follows from the definitions of T_m and a_n that for any $\omega \in \Omega$ we have

$$T_m^{n-1}(\omega) = \frac{m^{-a_n(\omega)}}{1 + (m-1)T_m^n(\omega)}, \ n \in \mathbf{N}_+, \tag{1.8}$$

hence

$$\omega = \cfrac{m^{-a_1(\omega)}}{1 + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_2(\omega)}}{1 + \ddots + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_n(\omega)}}{1 + T_m^n(\omega)}}}, \ n \in \mathbf{N}_+. \tag{1.9}$$

If we set

$$[[a_1]] = m^{-a_1}, \ [[a_1, a_2]] = \frac{m^{-a_1}}{1 + (m-1)m^{-a_2}} \tag{1.10}$$

and

$$[[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]] = \frac{m^{-a_1}}{1 + (m-1)[[a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n]]}, \ n \geq 3, \tag{1.11}$$

then (1.9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega &= \left[\left[a_1 + \frac{\log(1 + (m-1)T_m(\omega))}{\log m}\right]\right], \\
\omega &= \left[\left[a_1, a_2 + \frac{\log(1 + (m-1)T_m^2(\omega))}{\log m}\right]\right], \\
\omega &= \left[\left[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + \frac{\log(1 + (m-1)T_m^n(\omega))}{\log m}\right]\right]. \qquad (1.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 A finite truncation in (1.1), i.e.

$$\omega_n(\omega) := \frac{p_n(\omega)}{q_n(\omega)} = [[a_1(\omega), a_2(\omega), \dots, a_n(\omega)]], \ n \in \mathbf{N}_+ \tag{1.13}$$

is called the n-th convergent of ω.

The integer valued functions sequences $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ can be recursively defined by the formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_n(\omega) &= m^{a_n(\omega)} p_{n-1}(\omega) + (m-1) m^{a_{n-1}(\omega)} p_{n-2}(\omega), \ n \geq 2, \qquad (1.14) \\
q_n(\omega) &= m^{a_n(\omega)} q_{n-1}(\omega) + (m-1) m^{a_{n-1}(\omega)} q_{n-2}(\omega), \ n \geq 1, \qquad (1.15)
\end{aligned}$$

with $p_0(\omega) = 0$, $q_0(\omega) = 1$, $p_1(\omega) = 1$ and $a_0(\omega) = 0$, $q_{-1}(\omega) = 0$.

By induction, it is easy to prove that, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$, we have

$$p_n(\omega) q_{n-1}(\omega) - p_{n-1}(\omega) q_n(\omega) = (-1)^{n-1} (m-1)^{n-1} m^{a_1(\omega) + \dots + a_{n-1}(\omega)}, \tag{1.16}$$

and that

$$\cfrac{m^{-a_1(\omega)}}{1 + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_2(\omega)}}{1 + \ddots + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-b_n(\omega)}}{1 + (m-1)t}}} = \frac{p_n(\omega) + (m-1) t m^{a_n(\omega)} p_{n-1}(\omega)}{q_n(\omega) + (m-1) t m^{a_n(\omega)} q_{n-1}(\omega)}, \tag{1.17}$$

with $t \geq 0$. Now, (1.12) and (1.17) imply that

$$\omega = \frac{p_n(\omega) + (m-1) T_m^n(\omega) m^{a_n(\omega)} p_{n-1}(\omega)}{q_n(\omega) + (m-1) T_m^n(\omega) m^{a_n(\omega)} q_{n-1}(\omega)}, \ \omega \in \Omega, \ n \in \mathbf{N}_+. \tag{1.18}$$

Using (1.16) we obtain

$$|\omega - \omega_n(\omega)| = \frac{(m-1)^n T_m^n(\omega) m^{a_1(\omega) + \dots + a_n(\omega)}}{q_n(\omega) \left(q_n(\omega) + (m-1) T_m^n(\omega) m^{a_n(\omega)} q_{n-1}(\omega)\right)}. \tag{1.19}$$

At this moment, we are able to assert that this continued fraction expansion is convergent, i.e.

$$\omega = \lim_{n \to \infty} [[a_1(\omega), a_2(\omega), \dots, a_n(\omega)]], \ \omega \in \Omega. \tag{1.20}$$

Definition 1.3 Let $i^{(n)} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$, $i_n \in \mathbf{N}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We will say that

$$I\left(i^{(n)}\right) = \{\omega \in \Omega : a_k(\omega) = i_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\} \tag{1.21}$$

is the *fundamental interval* of rank n. We make the convention that $I\left(i^{(0)}\right) = \Omega$.

For example, for any $i \in \mathbf{N}$ we have

$$I\left(i\right) = \{\omega \in \Omega : a_1(\omega) = i\} = \Omega \cap \left(\frac{1}{m^{i+1}}, \frac{1}{m^i}\right). \tag{1.22}$$

We will write $I(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = I\left(a^{(n)}\right)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$. If $n \geq 2$ and $i_n \in \mathbf{N}$, then we have

$$I(a_1(\omega), \ldots, a_n(\omega)) = I\left(i^{(n)}\right).$$

By (1.18) we have

$$I\left(a^{(n)}\right) = \Omega \cap \left(u\left(a^{(n)}\right), v\left(a^{(n)}\right)\right) \tag{1.23}$$

where

$$u\left(a^{(n)}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} p_{n-1}}{q_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} q_{n-1}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{p_n}{q_n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases} \tag{1.24}$$

and

$$v\left(a^{(n)}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_n}{q_n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{p_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} p_{n-1}}{q_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} q_{n-1}}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{1.25}$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{p_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} p_{n-1}}{q_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} q_{n-1}} = \begin{cases} [[a_1 + 1]], & \text{if } n = 1 \\ [[a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n + 1]], & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{1.26}$$

and

$$\lambda\left(I\left(a^{(n)}\right)\right) = \frac{(m-1)^n m^{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}}{q_n\left(q_n + (m-1)m^{a_n} q_{n-1}\right)}, \tag{1.27}$$

where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Now, we define the random variables $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}_+}$ recursively by

$$s_n = m^{-a_n} \frac{q_n}{q_{n-1}} - 1, \; s_1 = 0. \tag{1.28}$$

Next, (1.15) implies that

$$s_n = \frac{(m-1)m^{-a_n}}{1 + s_{n-1}}, \; n \geq 2, \tag{1.29}$$

hence

$$s_n = \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_n}}{1 + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_{n-1}}}{1 + \ddots + \cfrac{(m-1)m^{-a_3(x)}}{1 + (m-1)m^{-a_2(x)}}}} = (m-1)[[a_n, a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_2, \infty]], \tag{1.30}$$

for $n \geq 2$.
The probabilistic structure of the sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}_+}$ under λ is described by the equations

$$\lambda(a_1 = i) = \frac{m-1}{m^{i+1}}, i \in \mathbf{N} \tag{1.31}$$

and

$$\lambda\left(a_{n+1} = i | a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) = P_i(s_n), i \in \mathbf{N}, n \in \mathbf{N}_+, \tag{1.32}$$

where

$$P_i(x) = \frac{(m-1)m^{-(i+1)}(x+1)(x+m)}{(x+(m-1)m^{-i}+1)(x+(m-1)m^{-(i+1)}+1)}, i \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{1.33}$$

These relations result as consequences of a Brodén-Borel-Lévy formula type, namely

$$\lambda\left(T_m^n < x | a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) = \frac{(s_n+m)x}{(s_n+(m-1)x+1)},\ x \in I, n \in \mathbf{N}_+ \tag{1.34}$$

where s_n is defined by (1.29).

Remark 1.4 The sequence $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}_+}$ with $s_1 = 0$ is an I-valued *Markov chain* [8] on $(I, \mathcal{B}_I, \lambda)$ with the following transition mechanism: from state $s \in I \setminus \Omega$ the possible transitions are to any state $m^{-i}/(1+(m-1)s)$ with corresponding transition probability $P_i(s)$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$.

2 Measure preserving transformation

Let $\mathcal{B}_I$ denote the σ-algebra of Borel subsets of I. The metric point of view in studying the sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}_+}$ is to consider that the a_n, $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$, are non-negative integer-valued random variables which are defined almost surely on $(I, \mathcal{B}_I)$ with respect to any probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_I$ that assign probability 0 to the set $I \setminus \Omega$ of rationals in I. Such a measure is Lebesgue measure λ. Another measure on $\mathcal{B}_I$ more important than Lebesgue measure, that assign probability 0 to the set of rationals in I, is *the invariant probability measure* γ_m of the shift transformation T_m defined by:

$$\gamma_m(A) = c_m \int_A \frac{dx}{(1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)},\ A \in \mathcal{B}_I, \tag{2.1}$$

where c_m is the normalization constant chosen so that $\gamma_m([0,1]) = 1$, i.e. $c_m = \frac{(m-1)^2}{\log(m^2/(2m-1))}$. It can be easily checked that $\gamma_m(A) = \gamma_m\left(T_m^{-1}(A)\right)$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$ [3].
Let us consider now what can be called *the natural extension* $\overline{T}_m$ of T_m, namely, the transformation of $[0,1) \times I$ defined by

$$\overline{T}_m(x,y) = \left(T_m(x), \frac{m^{-a_1(x)}}{1+(m-1)y}\right),\ (x,y) \in [0,1) \times I. \tag{2.2}$$

This is a one-to-one transformation of Ω^2 (the irrationals from I^2) with the inverse

$$\overline{T}_m^{-1}(\omega,\theta) = \left(\frac{m^{-a_1(\theta)}}{1+(m-1)\omega}, T_m(\theta)\right),\ (\omega,\theta)\in\Omega^2. \tag{2.3}$$

It is easy to check that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\overline{T}_m^{n}(\omega,\theta) = \left(T_m^n(\omega), \left[\!\left[a_n(\omega), a_{n-1}(\omega), \ldots, a_2(\omega), a_1(\omega) + \frac{\log(1+(m-1)\theta)}{\log m}\right]\!\right]\right), \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\overline{T}_m^{-n}(\omega,\theta) = \left(\left[\!\left[a_n(\theta), a_{n-1}(\theta), \ldots, a_2(\theta), a_1(\theta) + \frac{\log(1+(m-1)\omega)}{\log m}\right]\!\right], T_m^{-n}(\theta)\right). \tag{2.5}$$

Now, define *the extended measure* $\overline{\gamma}_m$ on $\mathcal{B}_I^2$ as

$$\overline{\gamma}_m(B) = c_m \iint_B \frac{dxdy}{(1+(m-1)(x+y))^2},\ B\in\mathcal{B}_I^2. \tag{2.6}$$

A simple calculus show us that

$$\overline{\gamma}_m(A\times I) = \overline{\gamma}_m(I\times A) = \gamma_m(A),\ A\in\mathcal{B}_I. \tag{2.7}$$

The result below shows that $\overline{\gamma}_m$ plays with respect to $\overline{T}_m$ the part played by γ_m with respect to T_m.

Proposition 2.1 The extended measure $\overline{\gamma}_m$ is preserved by $\overline{T}_m$.

Proof. We should show that $\overline{\gamma}_m\left(\overline{T}_m^{-1}(B)\right) = \overline{\gamma}_m(B)$ for any $B\in\mathcal{B}_I^2$ or, equivalently, since $\overline{T}_m$ is invertible on Ω^2, that

$$\overline{\gamma}_m\left(\overline{T}_m(B)\right) = \overline{\gamma}_m(B) \text{ for any } B\in\mathcal{B}_I^2. \tag{2.8}$$

We start with $B=(a,b)\times(c,d)$, where

$$a = m^{-(i-1)},\quad b = m^{-i},\quad i\in\mathbf{N}$$

and c and d arbitrary numbers from $(0,1)$. Then

$$\overline{T}_m(B) = \left(\left(T_m(x), \frac{m^{-a_1(x)}}{1+(m-1)y}\right) | x\in(a,b), y\in(c,d)\right). \tag{2.9}$$

Taking $x = m^{-(i+\theta)}$, $0<\theta<1$, we have

$$T_m(x) = \frac{m^\theta - 1}{m-1},\quad a_1(x) = i$$

such that

$$\overline{T}_m(B) = \left((0,1), \left(\frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)d}, \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)c}\right)\right). \tag{2.10}$$

A simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\gamma}_m\left(\overline{T}_m(B)\right) &= \int_0^1 dx \int_{\frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)d}}^{\frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)c}} \frac{dy}{(1+(m-1)(x+y))^2} \\
&= \int_{m^{-(i+1)}}^{m^{-i}} dx \int_c^d \frac{dy}{(1+(m-1)(x+y))^2} = \overline{\gamma}_m(B)
\end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.8) holds.
Next, we consider the case

$$a = \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)m^{-j}}, \quad b = \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)m^{-(j+1)}}, \quad i,\ j \in \mathbf{N}$$

and (c,d) an arbitrary interval. Now, with

$$x = \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)m^{-(j+\theta)}},$$

we have

$$\left\{\frac{x^{-1}}{\log m}\right\} = \left\{i + \frac{\log\left(1+(m-1)m^{-(j+\theta)}\right)}{\log m}\right\} = \frac{\log\left(1+(m-1)m^{-(j+\theta)}\right)}{\log m}$$

and

$$a_1(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{x^{-1}}{\log m} \right\rfloor = i.$$

Thus,

$$(m-1)T_m(x) = m^{\frac{\log\left(1+(m-1)m^{-(j+\theta)}\right)}{\log m}} - 1 = (m-1)m^{-(j+\theta)}.$$

Hence,

$$\overline{T}_m(B) = \left(\left(m^{-(j+1)}, m^{-j}\right), \left(\frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)d}, \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)c}\right)\right). \tag{2.11}$$

A straightforward calculation shows us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\gamma}_m\left(\overline{T}_m(x)\right) &= \int_{m^{-(j+1)}}^{m^{-j}} dx \int_{\frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)d}}^{\frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)c}} \frac{dy}{(1+(m-1)(x+y))^2} \\
&= \int_{\frac{m^{-i}}{\left(1+(m-1)m^{-j}\right)}}^{\frac{m^{-i}}{\left(1+(m-1)m^{-(j+1)}\right)}} dx \int_c^d \frac{dy}{(1+(m-1)(x+y))^2} = \overline{\gamma}_m(B)
\end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.8) holds. Since any arbitrary interval (a,b) can be written as a reunion of fundamental intervals the proof is complete.

3 The Perron-Frobenius operator

In this section we derive the *Perron-Frobenius operator* of T_m under γ_m. Also we restrict this operator to the space of functions of bounded variation.

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_I$ such that $\mu\left(T_m^{-1}(A)\right) = 0$ whenever $\mu(A) = 0$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$, where the transformation T_m is defined in (1.7). In particular, this condition is satisfied if T_m is μ-preserving, that is, $\mu T_m^{-1} = \mu$. It is known from [9] that the Perron-Frobenius operator P_μ of T_m under μ is defined as the bounded linear operator on $L^1_\mu = \left\{f : I \to \mathbf{C} : \int_I |f|\, d\mu < \infty\right\}$ which takes $f \in L^1_\mu$ into $P_\mu f \in L^1_\mu$ with

$$\int_A P_\mu f d\mu = \int_{T_m^{-1}(A)} f d\mu, \ A \in \mathcal{B}_I. \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, the Perron-Frobenius operator P_λ of T_m under the Lebesgue measure λ is

$$P_\lambda(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_{T_m^{-1}([0,x])} f d\lambda \ \ a.e. \text{ in } I. \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.1 The Perron-Frobenius operator $P_{\gamma_m} := U_m$ of T_m under γ_m is given a.e. in I by the equation

$$U_m f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} P_i((m-1)x) f(u_i(x)), \ f \in L^1_{\gamma_m}, \tag{3.3}$$

where P_i is defined in (1.33) and $u_i(x)$ is given by the equation

$$u_i(x) = \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)x}, \ x \in I. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Let $T_{m,i} : I_i \to I$ denote the restriction of T_m to the interval $I_i = \left(\frac{1}{m^{i+1}}, \frac{1}{m^i}\right]$, $i \in \mathbf{N}$, that is,

$$T_{m,i}(x) = \frac{1}{m-1}\left(\frac{m^{-i}}{x} - 1\right), \ x \in I_i. \tag{3.5}$$

For any $f \in L^1_{\gamma_m}$ and any $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$, we have

$$\int_{T_m^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma_m = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \int_{T_m^{-1}(A \cap I_i)} f d\gamma_m = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \int_{T_{m,i}^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma_m. \tag{3.6}$$

For any $i \in \mathbf{N}$, by the change of variable

$$x = T_{m,i}^{-1}(y) = \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)y}, \tag{3.7}$$

we successively obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{T_{m,i}^{-1}(A)} f d\gamma_m &= c \int_{T_{m,i}^{-1}(A)} \frac{f(x)}{(1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)} dx \\
&= c \int_A \frac{f\left(u_i(y)\right)}{\left(1+(m-1)u_i(y)\right)\left(m+(m-1)u_i(y)\right)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{(m-1)m^{-i}}{(1+(m-1)y)^2} dy \\
&= c \int_A f\left(u_i(y)\right)(m-1)m^{-(i+1)} \frac{1}{\left((m-1)y+(m-1)m^{-i}+1\right)} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{1}{\left((m-1)y+(m-1)m^{-(i+1)}+1\right)} dy \\
&= c \int_A P_i((m-1)y) f\left(u_i(y)\right) \gamma_m(dy). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, (3.3) follows from (3.6) and (3.8).

Proposition 3.2 Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{B}_I$. Assume that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to λ (and denote $\mu << \lambda$, i.e. if $\mu(A) = 0$ for every set A for which $\lambda(A) = 0$) and let $h = d\mu/d\lambda$. Then

$$
\mu\left(T_m^{-n}(A)\right) = \int_A \frac{U_m^n f(x)}{(1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)} dx, \qquad (3.9)
$$

for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}_I$, where $f(x) = (1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)h(x)$, $x \in I$.

Proof. We will use mathematical induction. For $n = 0$, the equation (3.9) is reduced to

$$
\mu(A) = \int_A h(x)dx, \ A \in \mathcal{B}_I,
$$

which is obviously true. Assume that (3.9) holds for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(T_m^{-(n+1)}(A)\right) &= \mu\left(T_m^{-n}(T_m^{-1}(A))\right) \\
&= \int_{T_m^{-1}(A)} \frac{U_m^n f(x)}{(1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{c_m} \int_{T_m^{-1}(A)} U_m^n f(x) d\gamma_m(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

By the very definition of the Perron-Frobenius operator $U_m = P_{\gamma_m}$ we have

$$
\int_{T_m^{-1}(A)} U_m^n f d\gamma_m = \int_A U_m^{n+1} f d\gamma_m.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(T_m^{-(n+1)}(A)\right) &= \frac{1}{c_m} \int_A U_m^{n+1} f d\gamma_m \\
&= \int_A \frac{U_m^{n+1} f(x)}{(1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)} dx
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof.

In the sequel we shall restrict the Perron-Frobenius operator U to $BV(I)$ the linear space of all complex-valued functions of bounded variation. Let $B(I)$ denote the Banach space of bounded measurable complex-valued functions f on I under the supremum norm

$$|f| = \sup_{x\in I} |f(x)|. \tag{3.10}$$

The variation $\mathrm{var}_A f$ over $A \subset I$ of a function $f \in B(I)$ is defined as

$$\sup \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})| \tag{3.11}$$

the supremum being taken over all points $t_1 < \ldots < t_k$ in A, for $k \geq 2$. We write simply $\mathrm{var} f$ for $\mathrm{var}_I f$, and if $\mathrm{var} f < \infty$, then f is called a function of bounded variation. Note that under the norm $\|f\|_V = |f| + \mathrm{var} f$, $f \in BV(I)$, the linear space $BV(I)$ is a commutative Banach algebra with unit.

Proposition 3.3 If $f \in BV(I)$ is a real-valued function, then

$$\mathrm{var}\ U_m f \leq K_m \mathrm{var}\ f, \tag{3.12}$$

where $K_m = \frac{(m-1)\left(3m^2-3m+1\right)}{(2m-1)(m^2+m-1)}$. The constant cannot be lowered.

Proof. For $x, y \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U_m f(x) - U_m f(y) &= \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} (P_i((m-1)x) f(u_i(x)) - P_i((m-1)y) f(u_i(y))) \\
&= \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} (P_i((m-1)x - P_i((m-1)y)(f(u_i(x)) - f(u_0(x))) \\
&+ \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} P_i((m-1)y(f(u_i(x)) - f(u_i(y))) \\
&= \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}_+} (P_i((m-1)x - P_i((m-1)y)(f(u_i(x)) - f(u_0(x))) \\
&+ \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} P_i((m-1)y)(f(u_i(x)) - f(u_i(y))).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the function P_0 is increasing, while the functions P_i, $i \in \mathbf{N}_+$, are all decreasing. Let $x < y$, with $x, y \in I$. It follows from the above equation that

$$\begin{aligned}
|U_m f(x) - U_m f(y)| \leq & \left(\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}_+} (P_i((m-1)x - P_i((m-1)y) \right) \mathrm{var}\ f \\
& + \sup_{y\in I, i\in\mathbf{N}} P_i((m-1)y) \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{var}_{[x,y]} f \circ u_i(x) \\
& = (1 - P_0((m-1)x) - 1 + P_0((m-1)y))\mathrm{var}\ f \\
& + P_0(m-1) \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{var}_{[x,y]} f \circ u_i(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var } U_m f \le (2P_0(m-1) - P_0(0))\text{var} f &= \left(\frac{2m(m-1)}{m^2+m-1} - \frac{m-1}{2m-1}\right) \text{var } f \\ &= \frac{(m-1)\left(3m^2-3m+1\right)}{(2m-1)\left(m^2+m-1\right)} \text{var } f.\end{aligned}$$

For f defined by $f(x) = 0$, $0 \le x \le \frac{1}{m}$, and $f(x) = 1$, $\frac{1}{m} < x \le 1$, we have $U_m f(x) = P_0(x)$, $0 \le x < 1$ and $U_m f(1) = 0$. Since $\text{var } U_m f = \frac{(m-1)\left(3m^2-3m+1\right)}{(2m-1)\left(m^2+m-1\right)}$ and $\text{var } f = 1$, it follows that the constant K_m cannot be lowered.

4 The ergodic behaviour of the RSCC

Proposition 4.1 The function $P(x,i) = P_i(x)$ from (1.33) defines a transition probability function from $(I, \mathcal{B}_I)$ to $(\mathbf{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{N}))$.

Proof. We have to verify that

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} P(x,i) = 1, \text{ for all } x \in I. \tag{4.1}$$

Since

$$P(x,i) = \frac{(x+1)(x+m)}{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{x+(m-1)m^{-(i+1)}+1} - \frac{1}{x+(m-1)m^{-i}+1}\right),$$

then it is an easy task to show that $\sum_{i\ge 0} P(x,i) = 1$.

Proposition 4.1 allows us to consider the random system with complete connections (RSCC) [8]

$$\left((I, \mathcal{B}_I), (\mathbf{N}_+, \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{N}_+)), u, P\right), \tag{4.2}$$

where P is P_i defined in (1.33), while $u : I \times \mathbf{N} \to I$ is u_i given by

$$u_i(x) = \frac{m^{-i}}{1+(m-1)x}, \; x \in I. \tag{4.3}$$

We denote by U_m the associated Markov operator of the RSCC (4.2) with the transition probability function Q_m.

In this section we study the ergodic behaviour of RSCC (4.2). The ergodic behaviour of the RSCC (4.2) allows us to find the limiting distribution function $F = F_\infty$ and the invariant measure induced by F. To study the ergodicity of the RSCC (4.2), we consider the norm $\|\cdot\|_L$ defined on $L(I)$ (- the space of Lipshitz real-functions defined on I) by

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{x\in I} |f(x)| + \sup_{x'\ne x''} \frac{|f(x') - f(x'')|}{|x'-x''|}, \quad f \in L(I). \tag{4.4}$$

Proposition 4.2 The RSCC (4.2) is an RSCC with contraction and its associated Markov operator U_m is regular with respect to $L(I)$.
Proof. We have

$$\frac{d}{dx}u(x,i) = -\frac{(m-1)m^{-i}}{(1+(m-1)x)^2},$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dx}P(x,i) = \frac{2(m-1)x+m+1}{(m-1)x+(m-1)m^{-(i+1)}+1} - \frac{2(m-1)x+m+1}{(m-1)x+(m-1)m^{-i}+1}$$
$$-\left(\frac{((m-1)x+1)((m-1)x+m)}{((m-1)x+(m-1)m^{-(i+1)}+1)^2} - \frac{((m-1)x+1)((m-1)x+m)}{((m-1)x+(m-1)m^{-i}+1)^2}\right),$$

for any $x \in I$ and $i \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore

$$\sup_{x\in I}\left|\frac{d}{dx}u(x,i)\right| = (m-1)m^{-i}, \quad \sup_{x\in I}\left|\frac{d}{dx}P(x,i)\right| < \infty.$$

It follows that $R_1 < \infty$ and $r_1 < \infty$, that is, the requirements of definition of an RSCC with contraction are fulfiled (Definition 3.1.15 in [8]). To prove the regularity of U_m with respect to $L(I)$, define the recurrence relation $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x_n+2}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, with $x_0 = x$. A criterion of regularity is expressed in Theorem 3.2.13 in [8], in terms of supports $\sigma_n(x)$ of the n-step transition probability functions $Q^n(x,\cdot)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$. Clearly $x_{n+1} \in \sigma_1(x_n)$ and therefore Lemma 3.2.14 in [8] and an induction argument lead us to the conclusion that $x_n \in \sigma_n(x)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$. But, $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \sqrt{2}-1$ for any $x \in I$. Hence

$$d\left(\sigma_n(x), \sqrt{2}-1\right) \le \left|x_n - \sqrt{2} - 1\right| \to 0, \ n \to \infty,$$

where $d(x,y) = |x-y|$, for any $x, y \in I$. The regularity of U_m with respect to $L(I)$ follows from Theorem 3.2.13 in [8].

If the transition probability function of the associated Markov operator Q_m is give by

$$Q_m(x,A) = \sum_{\{i\in\mathbf{N}:u_i(x)\in A\}} P_i(x), \quad x \in I, \ A \in \mathcal{B}_I. \tag{4.5}$$

then the n-step transition probability function $Q_m^n(\cdot,\cdot)$ converges uniformly to a probability measure Q_m^∞ and that there exist two positive constants $q < 1$ and k such that

$$\|U_m^n f - U_m^\infty f\|_L \le kq^n \|f\|_L, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_+, \ f \in L(I), \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$U_m^n f(\cdot) = \int_I f(y)Q_m^n(\cdot,dy), \quad U_m^\infty f = \int_I f(y)Q_m^\infty(dy). \tag{4.7}$$

Proposition 4.3 The invariant probability measure Q_m^∞ of the transformation T_m has the the density $\rho_m(x) = \frac{1}{(1+(m-1)x)(m+(m-1)x)}$, $x \in I$, with the normalizing factor $c_m = \frac{(m-1)^2}{\log(m^2/(2m-1))}$.

Proof. On account of the uniqueness of Q_m^∞ we have to show that

$$\int_0^1 Q_m(x,A)Q_m^\infty(dx) = Q_m^\infty(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_I. \tag{4.8}$$

Since the intervals $[0,u) \subset [0,1)$ generate $\mathcal{B}_I$, it is sufficient to check the equation (4.8) just for $A = [0,u)$, $0 < u \leq 1$. Let

$$E(x,m) = \left\lfloor \frac{\log u((m-1)x+1)}{\log m^{-1}} \right\rfloor + 1. \tag{4.9}$$

Now, from (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m(x,[0,u)) &= \sum_{\{i \in \mathbf{N}: 0 \leq u_i(x) < u\}} P_i(x) = \sum_{i \geq E(x,m)} P_i(x) \\ &= \frac{(x+1)(x+m)}{m-1}\left(\frac{1}{x+1} - \frac{1}{x+m^{-E(x,m)}+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

so that,

$$c_m \int_0^1 Q_m(x,[0,u))\rho_m(x)dx = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)^2}\log\frac{m((m-1)u+1)}{(m-1)u+m} = Q_m^\infty([0,u)).$$

5 The Gauss-Kuzmin type theorem

Our aim is to prove a Gauss-Kuzmin type theorem for this new expansion. The ergodic behaviour of the RSCC introduced in §4 allows us to obtain a convergence rate result.

The Gauss-Kuzmin type theorem shows the limiting distribution function

$$F(x) = F_\infty(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu\left(T_m^n < x\right). \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.1 (Gauss-Kuzmin type theorem) If the density F_0' of μ is a Riemann integrable function, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu\left(T_m^n < x\right) = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)^2}\log\frac{m((m-1)x+1)}{(m-1)x+m}, \quad x \in I. \tag{5.2}$$

If the density F_0' of μ is a Lipschitz function, then there exist two positive constants $q < 1$ and k such that for all $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$

$$\mu\left(T_m^n < x\right) = \frac{c_m}{(m-1)^2}(1+\theta q^n)\log\frac{m((m-1)x+1)}{(m-1)x+m}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\theta = \theta(\mu, n, x)$, with $|\theta| \leq k$.
Proof. Let $F_0' \in L(I)$. Then $f_0 \in L(I)$ and by the virtue of (4.7) we have

$$U_m^\infty f_0 = \int_I f_0(y)Q_m^\infty(dx) = \int_0^1 F_0'(x)dx = c_m. \tag{5.4}$$

According to (4.6) there exist two constants $q < 1$ and k such that

$$U_m^n f_0 = U_m^\infty f_0 + T_m^n f_0, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_+ \tag{5.5}$$

with $\|T_m^n f_0\|_L \leq k q^n$.

Further, consider $C(I)$ the metric space of real continuous functions defined on I with the supremum norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in I} |f(x)|$. Since $L(I)$ is a dense subset of $C(I)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_m^n f_0\| = 0, \tag{5.6}$$

for $f_0 \in C(I)$. Therefore, (5.6) is valid for a measurable function f_0 which is Q_m^∞-almost surely continuous, that is, for a Riemann-integrable function f_0. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu\left(T_m^n < x\right) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^x U_m^n f_0(u) \rho(u) du \\
&= \int_0^x U_m^\infty f_0(u) \rho(u) du \\
&= c_m \int_0^x \rho(u) du \\
&= \frac{c_m}{(m-1)^2} \log \frac{(m-1)u+1}{(m-1)u+m} \Bigg|_0^x \\
&= \frac{c_m}{(m-1)^2} \log \frac{m((m-1)x+1)}{(m-1)x+m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the statement is proved.

References

[1] Chan H.-C., *A Gauss-Kuzmin-Lévy theorem for a certain continued fraction*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2004, no. 20 (2004), 1067-1076.

[2] Chan H.-C., *Comparing the effectiveness of two kinds of continued fractions*, Nonlinear Analysis 63 (2005), 2437-2443.

[3] Chan H.-C., *The asymptotic growth rate of random Fibonacci type sequences. II*, Fibonacci Quart.44 (2006), 73-84.

[4] Chan H.-C., *The asymptotic growth rate of random Fibonacci type sequences*, Fibonacci Quart.43 (2005), 243-255.

[5] Chan H.-C., *The asymptotic growth rate of random Fibonacci type sequences. II*, Fibonacci Quart.44 (2006), 73-84.

[6] Coltescu I. and Lascu D., *A new type of continued fraction expansion*, Math. Sci. Res. J.11(1) (2005), 344-350.

[7] Iosifescu M., *A very simple proof of a generalization of the Gauss-Kuzmin-Lévy theorem on continued fractions,and related questions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 37 (1992), 901-914.

[8] Iosifescu M. and Grigorescu S., *Dependence with complete connections and its applications*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **96**. Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[9] Iosifescu M. and Kraaikamp C., *Metrical theory of continued fractions*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, 2002.

[10] Iosifescu M. and Sebe G.I., *An exact convergence rate in a Gauss-Kuzmin-Lévy problem for some continued fraction expansion*, in vol. Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 90-109. AIP Conf. Proc. 835 (2006), Amer. Inst. Physics, Melville, NY.

[11] Kalpazidou S., *On a problem of Gauss-Kuzmin type for continued fraction with odd partial quotients*, Pacific J. Math. 123 (1) (1986), 103-114.

[12] Kawamura K., Lascu D. and Coltescu, I, *Jump transformations and an embedding of $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ into $\mathcal{O}_2$*, J. Math.Phys. 50(3) (2009), 033501-1 - 033501-12.

[13] Kawamura K., Hayashi Y. and Lascu D., *Continued fraction expansions and permutative representations of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$*, J. Number Theory 129 (12) (2009), 3069 - 3080.

[14] Lascu D., *Markov processes in probabilistic number theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Romanian Academy, 2010.

[15] Rockett A.M. and Szüsz P., *Continued fractions*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

[16] Sebe G.I., *A Wirsing-type approach to some continued fraction expansion*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 12 (2005), 1943-1950.

[17] Sebe G.I., *On a Gauss-Kuzmin-type problem for a new continued fraction expansion with explicit invariant measure*, Proc. of the 3-rd Int. Coll "Math. in Engg.and Numerical Physics" (MENP-3), 7-9 October 2004 Bucharest, Romania, BSG Proceedings 12 (2005), Geometry Balkan Press, 252-258.